

SECRET

25X1

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

Security Committee

US REGISTRY  
FILE 0710 5

SECOM-M-266

30 March 1983

Minutes  
Two Hundred and Sixty-second Meeting  
Wednesday, 30 March 1983, 1000-1200 Hours  
Room 7D-32, Langley Headquarters Building

Chairman  
Presiding

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. Robert C. Allen, Department of the Navy  
[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency \*  
Mr. John McNamara, Office of the Secretary of the Air Force  
Col. George J. Mercurio, Department of the Air Force  
[redacted] National Security Agency  
Mr. Jerry Rubino, Department of Justice

ALTERNATES PRESENT

Mr. Thomas H. Blankenship, Department of Energy  
Mr. Edward J. Dansereau, Department of Treasury  
Mr. Frank Dill, Department of the Army  
Mr. Dan Downum, Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Mr. Roger D. Smith, Department of the Air Force

ALSO PRESENT

[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency \*  
Mr. Frederick K. Crosher, Department of State  
Mr. Marvin Doig, Department of State  
[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency  
Maj. Paul L. Funkhouser, Department of the Army  
[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency \*  
[redacted] National Security Agency  
[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency \*  
[redacted] Central Intelligence Agency  
Mr. Donald Stigers, Department of State  
Mr. Robert Wingfield, Department of Energy  
[redacted] Executive Secretary  
[redacted] SECOM Staff

\*Present for part of meeting

SECRET

03 3 0897

Preliminary Comments

The Chairman:

1. Invited members' attention to copies at their places of his memorandum to the DCI recommending that the services of SECOM's Unauthorized Disclosures Investigations Subcommittee be offered to Justice to help screen intelligence disclosures for priority of investigation, and of Mr. Casey's letter to the Attorney General to formalize that offer. [ ] 25X1

2. Noted his request at the 23 February meeting for member comments on possible establishment of a SECOM working group on physical security. [ ] said only the Navy member had responded to date, and had supported the concept and offered to provide representation. [ ] asked the other members for responses on this matter by 6 April. He asked in particular for the offer of an experienced person to serve as working group chairman. [ ] 25X1 25X1 25X1

3. Advised that Admiral Burkhalter, IC Staff Director, had approved a plan to hire Dr. Ruth Davis as an outside contractor to manage a broad-based analysis of computer security in the Government. This effort is to take from six months to a year. [ ] said that since this effectively over-shadows SECOM initiatives, he wrote the Admiral stating that he was instructing the Computer Security Subcommittee to put DCID 1/16 revision on the back burner. [ ] 25X1 25X1

4. Noted that discussion at the 23 March meeting on damage assessment data base options provided [ ] the basis for revision of draft responses to the IG/CM. Those revisions were coordinated with UDIS members on 29 March, and the further revisions resulting from that were incorporated in the drafts at members' places. [ ] asked members to review the new drafts and advise him of any serious objections which should be dealt with at the meeting. [ ] said members should keep in mind that this effort was not a SECOM initiative but was driven by IG/CM tasking, which required us to submit a draft NSDD to address all compromises, not just intelligence ones. He noted that nearly the same agencies are represented on both the SECOM and the IG/CM, and suggested that concerns about the scope of the proposed effort might be addressed effectively at the IG/CM. Discussion didn't identify any serious problems. [ ] asked members to let him know by 1 April if they had any serious concerns about the draft response, and to provide him no later than 6 April any written dissent or specific comments they wanted forwarded. He stated that the paper would be classified Confidential unless members recommended otherwise. [ ] 25X1 25X1 25X1 25X1 25X1 25X1

5. Said that [ ] had advised that the Office of Technology Assessment of the U. S. Congress is conducting a study of the validity and reliability of the polygraph. [ ] 25X1 25X1

6. Noted that the 30 March Washington Post article on the background of arrested "Professor Crafton" illustrated the weakness of a National Agency Check as a security clearance procedure. [ ] 25X1

Program Presentations

[ ] said the presentations at the December meeting by the Chairmen of the Technical Surveillance Countermeasures and Computer Security Subcommittee had been useful in enhancing communication and understanding. He noted that the current presentations by the R&D Subcommittee and the Security Advisory Group-USSR would complete our current, planned series. [ ]

25X1

25X1

25X1

[ ] described the R&D Subcommittee charter and supporting organization. He outlined the R&D cycle as beginning with the identification of problems and technologies, followed by increasingly refined budgetary proposals resulting in an RFP and contract initiation, and concluded by specific R&D effort and delivery of the final result. He described the elements of the FY 1982 R&D program totaling [ ] with major elements being a Community effort to develop an advanced countermeasure receiver; computer security programs; development of a lightweight, portable, secure vault; security testing by the Interagency Telephone Lab of a Western Electric Dimension 400 system; and conduct of threat studies. [ ]

25X1

25X1

25X1

[ ] identified and briefly discussed the FY 1983 R&D program consisting of:

25X1

25X1

Continued development of an advanced countermeasures receiver

Computer security efforts

Telephone security programs

Technical surveillance threats and countermeasure studies

Document, microfiche and magnetic media destruction

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

**Page Denied**

25X1

25X1

Next Meeting

[redacted] reminded members that the next business meeting was scheduled for 10:00 a.m., Wednesday, 20 April 1983.

25X1

25X1



**SECRET**

25X1